



39th United Nations inter-Agency Games (UNIAG)

CONTROL COMMISSION

AGENDA

1. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA
2. WELCOME ADDRESS BY THE CHAIRMAN OF THE PARIS ORGANISING COMMITTEE
3. OPENING OF THE MEETING BY THE CHAIR OF THE CONTROL COMMISSION OF THE 38TH IAG
4. ELECTION OF THE CHAIR AND VICE-CHAIR OF THE CONTROL COMMISSION
5. ELECTION OF THE SUB-COMMITTEE ON VERIFICATION OF PARTICIPANTS
 - a. Report of the 2011 IAG Organising Committee to the Sub-Committee on the verification of participants
 - b. Participation of IOM in the IAG (??)
 - c. Participation of UN Country Teams (UNCTs) in the IAG
 - d. Participation of UNVs
6. ELECTION OF THE SUB-COMMITTEE FOR APPEALS
7. ELECTION OF THE SUB-COMMITTEE ON RULES OF PROCEDURE
8. REVIEW OF REQUESTS FOR CHANGE OR DEVIATION FROM THE ESTABLISHED RULES REGARDING THE DISCIPLINES (Very important:

Requests must be submitted in writing to the CC prior to the beginning of the Games!)

9. THE CONTROL COMMISSION TO ATTEND THE CAPTAINS MEETING
10. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE 2011 IAG MEETING IN AUSTRIA
11. REVIEW OF THE 2011 IAG FINANCIAL STATEMENT AND AUDIT REPORT
12. EXAMINATION OF THE RESULTS OF THE WORKING GROUP FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A SECRETARIAT:
 - a. Review of proposal
 - b. FICSA presentation
13. SURVEY OF UNIAG – QUESTIONNAIRE
14. EXPANDING THE CYCLE
Review of Proposals to Expand the Cycle:
 - a. New York
 - b. Nairobi
15. ORGANIZATION OF THE IAG
 - a. Review of Current Issues
 - b. Insurance
 - c. Official Release Time
 - d. Participation / Accommodation
 - e. Best Practices
 - f. Lessons Learned
16. REVIEW AND ANNOUNCEMENT OF THE FINAL RESULTS OF THE 2012 IAG

1st Meeting (Thursday, 10 May 2012, 3:40pm)

Present:

CTBTO:	Ms. Glenda Wolstenholme (GW)
IAEA:	Mr. Peter Patak (PP) Mr. Imed Zabaar (IZ)
IFAD:	Ms. Viviane Strongoli-Di Majo (VS)
ILO:	Mr. Bill Ratteree (BR) Ms. Alison Irvine-Moget (AI)
ITU:	Mr. Leroy Brown (LB)
UNBONN:	Mr. James Grabert (JG) Mr. William Otieno (WO)
UNEP:	Mr. Juan Fernando CaicedoRestrepo (JFC)
UNFCCC:	Mr. Willy Fearon (WF)
UNHCR:	Ms. Linda Harris (LH)
UNHQNY:	Ms. Cristina Silva (CS)
UNICC	Mr. Jose Enrique Garcia-Alcaca Nieto (JEGN)
UNOV/UNODC:	Mr. Daniel Bridi (DB)
UNOG	Mr. Olivier Combe (OC) Ms. Valérie Ducrot (VD)
UNON	Mr. Francis Gichomo (FG) Mr. Eric Muli (EM)
UNIDO:	Mr. Steven Eales (SE)
UNESCO:	Mr. Papa Malick Gaye (Chair and PMG) Ms. Rovani Sigamoney (RS)
WHO:	Mr. Arnaud Devilliers (AD)
WIPO:	Ms. Natalia Deblue (ND) Ms. Pauline Guy (PG)

1. A welcome address and introduction was done by Papa Malick Gaye (UNESCO).
2. Chair of the 38th IAG was represented by Daniel Bridi who opened the meeting.
3. There was an intervention by Imed Zabaar (IAEA) that the report of the Chair should be included as an item on the agenda. Item 14 of the agenda needs to be changed as the existing rules pertaining to this issue need to be reviewed. Francis (UNON) stated that this rule was already reviewed in 2011 (the minutes of the 2011 meeting needs to be approved). Still on Item 14, UN Bonn stated that they may be able to host the Games in 2-3 years (but would not be part of the cycle). The agenda was then approved with these amendments.

4. Daniel Bridi then proposed to nominate Papa Malick Gaye as Chair of the CC since the Chair should be from the host Organization and it was accepted. The Vice-Chair is to be from Geneva as interest was shown from Geneva to host the next Games and there was a meeting that was held at the end of 2011 which was supposed to report back to the CC. It was suggested that Daniel should be acting Vice-Chair until Geneva nominates a candidate.
5. ELECTION OF THE SUB-COMMITTEE ON VERIFICATION OF PARTICIPANTS - The following members were elected:
 - a. Linda Harris
 - b. Imed Zabaar
 - c. Natalia Deblue
6. ELECTION OF THE SUB-COMMITTEE FOR APPEALS - The following members were elected:
 - a. Arnaud Devilliers
 - b. James Grabert
 - c. Eric Muli
7. ELECTION OF THE SUB-COMMITTEE ON RULES OF PROCEDURE – The following members were elected:
 - a. Peter Patak
 - b. Daniel Bridi
 - c. Pauline Guy
8. Thereafter Item 8 was postponed to be dealt with at a later stage.
9. The members appointed to represent the CC at the Captains meeting in the different disciplines were:
 - a. Athletics – Arnaud Devilliers
 - b. Badminton – Daniel Bridi
 - c. Basketball – Ihmed Zabaar
 - d. Chess – Steven Eales
 - e. Darts – Linda Harris
 - f. Football – Willy Fearon
 - g. Golf – Francis Giclomo
 - h. Petanque – Glenda Wolstenholme
 - i. Table Tennis – Natalia Deblue
 - j. Tennis – Peter Patak
 - k. Swimming – Eric Muli
 - l. Volleyball – Pauline Guy
10. Thereafter Item 8 was revisited.
 - a. Steven Eales requested that an exception be made for 1 participant from UNIDO, a consultant, who was during the duration of the Games on a 'break in service'. Thus UNIDO Human Resources

branch could not certify her as she was at that point under no contractual relationship with UNIDO. She has been working –for UNIDO continuously for 4 years and could however be considered as “staff”. Mr. Eales also provided evidence of the participant’s next contract already have being signed, being effective of the day after return from the Games. This request was approved by the CC and submitted to the verification committee.

- b. Malick remarked that for Women’s Football there are only 3 UN Organizations and some do not have enough players to form a team. He also informed the CC that the city of Biarritz has been kind enough to allow their women’s team to participate in the Games so that the ranking will only be between the UN teams. It was requested that a trophy be given to the Biarritz women’s football team as a token of appreciation for participating. Imed was uncomfortable with this as it is supposed to be the UN Games and it should be kept in a low profile as it will be difficult to explain to Admin. Malick remarked that this gesture will integrate the local community into the Games but is not jeopardizing it. A low profile will be maintained.
- c. Linda remarked that maybe some disciplines that are not very popular should be removed. Daniel responded that there are no compulsory sporting events since last year.
- d. Daniel pointed out that there are not enough women for a women’s tournament and thus mixed teams should be considered as suggested by some players.
- e. James remarked that the women’s football will be dealt with at the Captain’s meeting as it is now 5-a-side and not 7-a-side.

11. It was decided to meet at 6pm after the Captain’s meeting.

12. Meeting closed at 4:35pm.

2nd Meeting (Thursday, 10 May 2012, 6:15pm)

Present:

CTBTO:	Ms. Glenda Wolstenholme (GW)
IAEA:	Mr. Peter Patak (PP) Mr. Imed Zabaar (IZ)
IFAD:	Ms. Viviane Strongoli-Di Majo (VS)
ILO:	Mr. Bill Ratteree (BR) Ms. Alison Irvine-Moget (AI)
ITU:	Mr. Leroy Brown (LB)
UNBONN:	Mr. James Grabert (JG) Mr. William Otieno (WO)
UNEP:	Mr. Juan Fernando CaicedoRestrepo (JFC)
UNFCCC:	Mr. Willy Fearon (WF)
UNHCR:	Ms. Linda Harris (LH)
UNHQNY:	Ms. Cristina Silva (CS)
UNICC	Mr. Jose Enrique Garcia-Alcaca Nieto (JEGN)
UNOV/UNODC:	Mr. Daniel Bridi (DB)
UNOG	Mr. Olivier Combe (OC) Ms. Valérie Ducrot (VD)
UNON	Mr. Francis Gichomo (FG) Mr. Eric Muli (EM)
UNIDO:	Mr. Steven Eales (SE)
UNESCO:	Mr. Papa Malick Gaye (Chair and PMG) Ms. Rovani Sigamoney (RS)
WHO:	Mr. Arnaud Devilliers (AD)
WIPO:	Ms. Natalia Deblue (ND) Ms. Pauline Guy (PG)

Report back from the Captains Meeting

1. Table Tennis – There were only 2 women and thus not enough to form a team. 1 of the ladies preferred to play Badminton instead. Daniel spoke to both the ladies and it was accepted that the 1 lady can play table-tennis in the men's team.

2. Badminton – Venue changed and not as in the brochure. Malick to announce venue and pick-up from hotels at the opening ceremony.
3. Darts – no problem
4. Football – there are 6 Captains and there may be a Biarritz team to join the women's tournament since there are only two teams. No major problems.
5. Petanque – no problem
6. Athletics – Teams are split into smaller teams and the names changed and numbers. Wrong info of venue in brochure. Sunday will be the 10km and info is needed as to what time the buses will pick up participants. Sunday race is combined with a local race – and they need to know the number of participants for the external Sunday race so that there are no clashes or time delays at start. The track is also not known for Sunday. There is no UNESCO co-ordinator for athletics and that is difficult. Malick replied that the information will be given to the hotels.
7. Swimming – there are 2 women teams and 4 men teams and many Captains are missing. With respect to the rules, there is an error in the way that the events are listed – it should be butterfly, back-stroke, breast-stroke and freestyle. The swimming event will take place on Saturday from 9am. They will decide on an additional event when the 6 Captains meet.
8. Golf – to be played on Friday and Saturday. There was a request that since golf is an individual sport, there should be a prize given to the best performer. Danny replied that it is up to the organizing agency to determine whether they would like to give out individual prizes. Malick remarked that there are no individual prizes except for Athletics (the Veteran men and women's event).
9. Chess – there were 3 captains absent. The revised rules from 2007 were not reflected on the web and the brochure, the tournament was conducted under the new rules. The captains agreed to hold a blitz tournament on the Sunday after the end of the regular tournament.
10. Tennis – no problems
11. Basketball – The names were not correct. Some teams of 5 people will have people substituted from other teams. There are currently 5 teams but there may be 4. They will play on Friday, Saturday and Sunday morning. There are no deviations from the Rules.
12. Volleyball – no problems

3rd Meeting (11 May 2012, 5pm)

Present:

IAEA:	Mr. Peter Patak (PP) Mr. Imed Zabaar (IZ)
IFAD:	Ms. Viviane Strongoli-Di Majo (VS)
ILO:	Mr. Bill Ratteree (BR)
UNHCR:	Ms. Linda Harris (LH)
UNHQNY:	Ms. Cristina Silva (CS)
UNOV/UNODC:	Mr. Daniel Bridi (DB)
UNOG	Mr. Olivier Combe (OC)
UNON	Mr. Francis Gichomo (FG) Mr. Eric Muli (EM)
UNIDO:	Mr. Steven Eales (SE)
UNESCO:	Mr. Papa Malick Gaye (Chair and PMG) Ms. Rovani Sigamoney (RS)
WHO:	Mr. Arnaud Devilliers (AD)
WIPO:	Ms. Natalia Deblue (ND) Ms. Pauline Guy (PG)

Order of Cycle and which duty station to host IAG in 2013

1. Chair welcomes everyone and introduces Item 4. Olivier Combe (UNOG) is elected as Vice-Chair
2. OC: UNOG is willing and enthusiastic to host Games but 2 conditions to be met:
 - a. A meeting will take place in Geneva after the 39th IAG to decide on their plan of action
 - b. They require the support from Administration of UNOG before a decision can be made
3. DB: enquired whether it would be only UNOG organizing or that other Geneva based organizations will also help. OC replied that most work will be by UNOG

4. CS: Not comfortable with the constant postponement of the next IAG as NY is ready. A letter was sent to the secretariat on 26 September 2011 however no answer was received. DB: No answer was received from Geneva for hosting the 2013 games and thus NY did not receive a reply.
5. FG: At the CC meeting last year, the clause "Games in Europe" was removed and thus either UNNY or Geneva can host the 40th IAG.
6. IZ: The rules about the cycle of countries to host IAG have to be revised. New organizations wishing to organize the Games should wait for their turn at the end of the current cycle which has already begun.
7. PMG: Should there be a vote on the new cycle? AD suggested that each interested organization should put a proposal forward to the CC and thus there will not be a cycle but the best proposal will win the bid to host. IZ: agrees with AD however it should be implemented in 2 years. Long-term planning is needed as stated in paragraph 7 of the rules. It will be discriminating to limit the hosting to duty stations.
8. Chair: Suggests that a decision for 2013 needs to be taken as NY is ready. IZ: There requested the CC members to look into the following issues:
 - a. Expand the cycle
 - b. Allow different agencies to host with no cycle
9. DB suggests that a cycle is needed as there will be no pressure on any agency to host.
10. FG suggests adding other duty stations to the cycle however IZ does not believe the cycle is working effectively as many organizations often fail to host the Games leading to them being cancelled or others often would have to jump in at a very short notice to host the Games instead.
11. CS likes cycle and Chair agrees
12. Chair: Suggests that the cycle be amended to include NY and Nairobi. IZ not comfortable and Chair proposes to change the rules of expanding the cycle by a vote.
13. BR: Expand the cycle to 5 or 6 years and then decide on the order of organizations to host. Vote to expand cycle and then vote on order of duty stations.
14. IZ explains that voting for cycle with duty stations is better than just expanding the cycle first
15. Chair: By consensus a 6-year cycle is agreed.
16. PP: Keep the 4-year cycle and add NY and Nairobi at the end and since Geneva is not ready, NY should host. OC reiterates that Geneva can only give their answer in July.
17. CS: Not sure if the venue will be available if they wait until July as they have an answer currently to host in 2013.
18. Chair: Proposes that if in July Geneva cannot host then:
 - a. NY
 - b. Nairobi
 - c. Rome

- d. Vienna
 - e. UNESCO
19. IZ: Rule 7 needs to be reviewed to accommodate these new decisions.
 20. Chair: secretariat will work on the rules
 21. LH: Who will go to the Games in NY and Nairobi?
 22. OC: A survey should be done to increase the number of people attending IAG.
 23. BR: Suggested a counter-proposal to the Chair for Item 14. There should be a competitive bidding process and therefore the proposal for 2013 should be according to criteria proposed by the CC. In this way a competitive bidding process is fixed and there is no cycle.
 24. CS: There are more than 10 000 people in NY and more can participate if the Games are in NY.
 25. FG: agrees to competitive bidding but not for 2013.
 26. SE: likes the cycle as well as the competitive bidding. In future any duty station should be able to challenge a duty station within the cycle with its own bid. The CC could then decide on the host in the year in question.
 27. IZ: Nairobi previously sent a concept for organizing the Games. IZ supports the idea of openness and transparency.
 28. BR suggests using competitive bidding for 2013 to decide whether Geneva or NY should host IAG. DB and ND are against competitive bidding and prefer cycle. IZ and FG: keep cycle but if someone fails then competitive bidding should be used.
 29. DB: Fixing a cycle could be: Paris, Geneva, Vienna, Rome, NY and Nairobi. If any of the 6 organizations cannot organize the Games, then the secretary will open the competitive bidding to all.
 30. BR: proposed fixing a date for the duty station to answer whether they can host and set a date for competitive bidding. FG agrees.
 31. Chair: Cycle is:
 - a. Paris
 - b. Geneva
 - c. NY
 - d. Nairobi
 - e. Rome
 - f. Vienna
 32. IZ suggests that in line with previous decisions, if Geneva cannot organize then all duty-stations should be able to organize. DB agrees.
 33. PP: The hosting of next IAG should be known at the end of the 39th IAG and not in September.
 - 34. Chair suggests postponing to the following day:**
 - a. Fixing the cycle**
 - b. Which duty station will host the next IAG**

35. IZ read out the report of the verification committee and Chair suggested that missing information be verified with responsible duty station officers
36. EM reported that for swimming Kabul had no swimmer for butterfly and the son of a Rome player was proposed. BR accepted proposal. FG, ND, PG, DB, IZ, PP and LH against proposal.
37. FG: Golfers were charged the day before for practice however it is not the norm and they do not want to pay.
38. AD: Only 2 local organizers for the relay are present and thus the distances need to be changed from 1200m to 800m and 800m to 600m (including the women's race).

Election of the IAG Secretariat Standing Committee (Item 12)

The representative ILO enquired whether a call for nominations had been issued as he does not remember receiving an email of that subject. The majority of members confirmed not having received an email on the subject. The chair confirmed the possibility of the call for nominations having been omitted to be sent to the members of the Control Commission. The representative ILO then stated that the process of election needed to be redone as there currently was no clearly defined process. Only after a process of election had been determined should the Control Commission vote on the new standing committee.

The chair suggested sending the nominations to all CC members and that he would give a deadline of one month to submit further nomination. The new standing committee would then be chosen in the summer

A representative IAEA added that nominations should be submitted with a written work-plan for the standing committee (an outline of the work-plan intended)

The representative of ILO agrees on the following two:

- that an email be sent out requesting nominations for the Secretariat and
- that all proposals and nominations be accompanied with a statement by the candidate to the Secretariat. Also would he like to see the statutes of the standing committee from one duty station.

The chair advised the CC to consider that all members of the Secretariat should be from the same duty station and that this committee will be elected for four years. Further the chair suggested the rotation of duty stations should be discussed.

A representative IAEA felt that rotation of duty stations should not be mandatory as members of the Secretariat should be well experienced with the Games and these could be lost through a rotation policy.

The representative added that the functioning of the Secretariat would have to be looked into by the next Secretariat to establish clear ToR.

The representative ILO made a proposal to elect a temporary Secretariat for one year to accommodate for the new treasurer to take over the accounts and for the standing committee to draw up proposals to follow-up on the ToR for the elections. Included in this temporary standing committee are the former members of the Secretariat who were present or showed interest to continue working with Secretariat.

It was agreed that the current Chair of the CC Mr. Papa Gaye Malick and Mr. Imed Zabaar (IAEA) be included in the temporary Secretariat.

The meeting adjourned and the discussion on Item 12 continued on 12 May 2012.

4th Meeting (12 May 2012, 5pm)

Present:

IAEA:	Mr. Peter Patak (PP) Mr. Imed Zabaar (IZ)
IFAD:	Ms. Viviane Strongoli-Di Majo (VS)
ILO:	Mr. Bill Ratteree (BR) Ms. Alison Irvine-Moget (AI)
ITU:	Mr. Leroy Brown (LB)
UNHCR:	Ms. Linda Harris (LH)
UNHQNY:	Ms. Cristina Silva (CS)
UNOV/UNODC:	Mr. Daniel Bridi (DB)
UNOG	Mr. Olivier Combe (OC)
UNON	Mr. Francis Gichomo (FG)
UNIDO:	Mr. Steven Eales (SE)
UNESCO:	Mr. Papa Malick Gaye (Chair and PMG) Ms. Rovani Sigamoney (RS)
WHO:	Mr. Arnaud Devilliers (AD)
WIPO:	Ms. Natalia Deblue (ND) Ms. Pauline Guy (PG)

Election of the IAG Secretariat Standing Committee (Item 12) – continued

A representative of IAEA (IZ) asked for clarification regarding the decision that was made the previous day concerning the temporary Secretariat. Specifically the representative wanted to clarify if the decision was that existing members,

with the exception of the former treasurer from Rome be replaced by Mr. Eales and two additional members Mr. Gaye and Mr. Zabaar be added, were extended or a new temporary secretariat composed of the six members nominated was assigned. The representative reminded the CC that the IAEA had a nomination for John Meehan however the call for nomination was missing. The representative agreed a proper call for nomination should be held.

The representative UNODC explained that his impression was a provisional Secretariat had been elected for one year.

The representative UNHCR disagreed explaining the understanding was the current Secretariat had been extended for one year, the only exception being the treasurer being replaced.

The chair explained that the word 'extending' was confusing in this situation. The consensus was that the chairmanship of the current standing committee (Mr. Patak – IAEA) was extended for one year but the nominations from Vienna received are included. He added the decision made the previous day was on an extension thus the members of the standing committee being: Messrs. Patak, Zabaar, Gaye, Bridi, Eales and Ms. Dorer.

The representative ILO confirmed that this was the understanding, as was the part of the ILO representative's proposal that the Secretariat submit a proposal by the 1st meeting of the CC in 2013 on how to proceed in the future.

The representative's understanding was the current secretariat was extended for one year, whereas the treasurer from Rome was replaced. The standing committee was now composed of six persons, three of which were members before and three that were added.

A clarification was made to that by a representative IAEA. The former and now extended Secretariat was composed of four persons and correctly three were added. **Thus the Secretariat now temporarily being composed of the following:**

- 1.)Peter Patak**
- 2.)John Meehan**
- 3.)Imed Zabaar**
- 4.)Malick Gaye**
- 5.)Dani Bridi**
- 6.)Marie-Odile Dorer**
- 7.) Steven Eales**

The CC reached consensus on this and moved to the next item.

Extension of cycle – continued

Rule 7

1. IZ enquired about amendment to the rules and DB reported that PG, DB and PP worked on rules 2, 7 and 9.

2. BR suggested that the cycle should not be the same date for all duty stations (eg. UNON) as this will also make the IAG less restrictive, better and less subjective to approval from CC. IZ explained that the particular dates are chosen as it is not high season during that time and thus most countries are able to secure discounts for the organization. BR then withdrew his proposal.
3. After the Chair confirmed that the change was accepted by all, DB the read out new Rule 7 as: "... six duty stations and in the following order:
 - a. Paris
 - b. Geneva
 - c. Rome
 - d. Vienna
 - e. NY
 - f. Nairobi"
4. AD suggested that the order is not ideal as all the European countries are together and then others at the end. He suggested that there will be less participants going to Nairobi and NY and therefore there should not be a 2 year gap at the end. LH agreed and said that the duty stations should be redistribution.
5. IZ stated that "expand the cycle" meant take the cycle as is and add the new duty stations to the end.
6. BR agreed with AD and LH – NY after Geneva, then Europe and Nairobi.
7. Chair and DB accepts AD's proposal.
- 8. OC asked by Chair to clarify decision for 2013 IAG. OC reports that Geneva has a working group preparing for IAG 2013. They can organize the Games however the support for approval from administration would only arrive on 1 July 2012.**
9. Chair states that what was discussed on the previous day with regards to the order should stand and a decision can only be made for 2013 after July once Geneva has an answer.
10. BR proposes that NY follows Geneva in cycle, seconded by LB. PP suggests that Nairobi is after Rome. DB indicates that order of cycle is needed but Vienna started preparations already.
11. BR enquired why will there be complications if Vienna is last and IZ replied that planning is done in advance however Vienna can take contingency measures.
- 12. Chair suggests cycle order is:**
 - a. Paris**
 - b. Geneva**
 - c. NY**
 - d. Rome**
 - e. Nairobi**
 - f. Vienna**

13. IZ asked if these changes to the rules will be with immediate effect. BR enquires when the process for competitive bidding is open. Chair suggests that it will be when meeting during the IAG. It will be discussed but needs to be announced 2 years before the commitment date.
14. DB agrees that the procedure is 2 years in advance.
15. BR suggested that the process of competitive bidding needs to be reflected as he is not satisfied with whether the CC or Secretariat should decide. IZ supports BR's proposal and suggests that the secretariat should present the process of competitive bidding at the next IAG (2013) and there should be a vote then. DB proposed that the secretariat will work on this mechanism.

Rule 9

16. Chair enquires why the secretariat is included in decision when they are not the hosts. IZ points out that the secretariat has vast experience. DB suggests that the hosts can ask the opinion of the secretariat and thus are in consultation with them.
17. **Amendment by BR in first line of rule 9: "The host organization, in consultation with the secretariat, will send out"**
18. A discussion about penalties and breach of agreed norms was discussed and IZ stated that since there are contact sports, there is a blacklist and CC can decide who can attend the IAG. If agencies do not pay they could also be tried by this rule.
19. **IZ suggests that a new line should be added as: "CC maintains the right to reject the participation of individuals in accordance with 'penalties and breach of agreed norms'" (including a link to the document)**
20. Chair suggests that a maximum of 2 members per organization should be members of CC. LB suggests that there is nothing official from the organizations and Chair suggests that there should be an e-mail from each organization.

Item 11

21. SE presents the financial statement of the 2011 IAG. There were some problems with hotel room allocation resulting in extra-budgetary costs. UNIDO Staff Council did however take over some minor entries in form of sponsoring to break-even. All agencies had paid their fees which is reflected in the report.
22. BR suggested that a written statement needs to be presented however Chair and FG did not see how this adds value to the Games. IZ pointed out that it is necessary for transparency and to determine whether

organizations break-even. LB suggested that transparency is necessary and thus an audited report would be more useful the following year.

Item 13 – Survey of IAG

23. Presented by DB. Should be sent to all UN staff to distribute. NB suggested that all large meeting dates, like the GA, should be included so that there are no conflicting times of the scheduled Games. DB and BR stated that a check and compromise of the most important dates needs to be included.
24. OC remarked that the objective of the survey needs to be clear and it also needs to determine the reasons for the decrease in participation in the IAG. IZ: The target audience is not the CC but all staff. DB suggested that the survey will be distributed to the organizations through the CC members. Different ways to transmit the survey on the intranet in all organizations was discussed.
25. Amendments were made to the draft survey and DB noted these to correct.
26. Chair enquired about the timeline for the survey. IZ suggested that the timeline should be presented.

Item 10

27. FG enquired about the minutes from 2011 and DB suggested that it was approved by e-mail as there were no objections. FG wanted to know how matters arising from the minutes were dealt with. DB explained that a mail was sent by UNIDO to CC with the draft minutes. If there were no replies to object, the minutes were approved.

AOB

28. BR explained a situation in basketball where a player from Vienna was frustrated with the referee and kicked his bag which hit the official scorer. A decision was made to suspend player from the tournament due to foul language as well. CC approached the president of the club and the decision was reversed and the player only got 1 game suspension and so he could play in the final the following day. The CC had to decide whether the player maintains 1 game suspension or suspension from the tournament (as voted by the captains). After a long discussion it was agreed that the player would have to apologize to the officials and his captain had to guarantee, to the officials, that the player will conduct himself appropriately. **There was a vote whether the player will play in the final match the following day:**
 - a. **Yes – 8 votes**
 - b. **No – 5 votes**

c. Abstain – 1 vote

Thus it was voted that the player will participate in the tournament the following day provided that he apologises to the officials and the captain should ensure that he behaves appropriately. CS and BR were appointed to explain the decision.

29. CS asked about the security of having some events like shooting. Chair explained that some members wanted to organize a side competition, arranged independently however they did not get the approval from French authorities.
30. IZ suggested that for the work of the CC, the secretariat should maintain the rules and the host organizing committee should publish these rules on their website as well as our own websites. There should be a link to these rules. To ensure the commitment of duty stations, it is important to have continuation in the CC and encourage this in the cycles as well. Members should be assigned for the entire cycle.
31. AD brought up the issue of athletes who could not participate in the long distance race as 2/3 of the athletes' medical certificates were not accepted. Thus the Chair stated that there would be 2 doctors in the morning, before the race began, certifying the runners.

5th Meeting (13 May 2012, 3:20pm)

Present:

IAEA:	Mr. Peter Patak (PP) Mr. Imed Zabaar (IZ)
IFAD:	Ms. Viviane Strongoli-Di Majo (VS)
ITU:	Mr. Leroy Brown (LB)
UNHCR:	Ms. Linda Harris (LH)
UNHQNY:	Ms. Cristina Silva (CS)
UNOV/UNODC:	Mr. Daniel Bridi (DB)
UNOG	Mr. Olivier Combe (OC)
UNON	Mr. Francis Gichomo (FG)
UNIDO:	Mr. Steven Eales (SE)
UNESCO:	Mr. Papa Malick Gaye (Chair and PMG) Ms. Rovani Sigamoney (RS)
WHO:	Mr. Arnaud Devilliers (AD)
WIPO:	Ms. Natalia Deblue (ND) Ms. Pauline Guy (PG)

Survey of IAG – continued

1. Suggestions about the order of questions, typography and grouping were proposed. OC suggested that the survey be sent at the end of May to CC to approve it. IZ suggested that CC should be given 2 weeks to review draft. **DB suggested that the survey will be sent to CC on 24 May 2012 and it was adopted.**
2. CS suggested that it should be announced on that night, at the Closing Ceremony, that NY and Nairobi will also be hosting the Games.

3. It was adopted that the IAG flag will be given to the secretariat and they will then hand it over to the following duty station.
4. IZ attempted to get verification of people that were missing on the lists. IZ suggested that verification should be done before the IAG begins and FG agreed that it should be done at registration.
5. DB suggested that verification be done 1 step before registration where participants show proof of their registration and employment.
6. Chair then read out the results of each discipline of sport to the CC. DB suggested that a statement should be made at the Closing Ceremony that all participant who were to receive a medal but were not verified, will not have their names displayed on the website. This was seconded by Chair and IZ.
7. IZ thanked Chair and his team for organizing the Games and complimented him on the success of the Games. Chair thanked DB and the CC for all the dedication and work put into helping organize the Games.